If a parent is enrolled in a training program, can the family receive a subsidy to help pay for child care? What if the parent completes the training program and begins looking for a job? If the family does qualify for a subsidy, how much will they have to pay out of pocket? The answers to these questions depend on a family’s exact circumstances, including:

- the ages of the children
- the number of people in the family
- income
- where they live
Child care subsidies are provided through a federal block grant program called the Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF). CCDF provides funding to the States and Territories.¹ They use the money to administer child care subsidy programs for working families with low incomes.²

In setting up their CCDF programs, States/Territories must comply with federal guidelines,³ including (but not limited to):

- establishing income eligibility limits at or below 85 percent of state median income (SMI)
- setting the maximum age for children receiving a subsidy at under 13 years of age, or under age 19 if children have special needs
- defining the activities that qualify a family for assistance, within the federally allowed categories (employment, education, etc.)

States/Territories also have the discretion to establish many other detailed policies used to operate their CCDF programs. In this brief, we present some of the policy differences across the States and Territories. The policies are taken from the CCDF Policies Database, a project funded by the Office of Planning, Research, and Evaluation within the Administration for Children and Families.⁴ The CCDF Policies Database tracks State/Territory policies over time, with hundreds of variables describing policies related to:

- child eligibility
- application and waiting list procedures
- family copayments
- provider payment rates
- other provider policies

¹ Tribes also receive CCDF Funds, but their policies are not currently captured in the CCDF Policies Database.
² In addition to providing subsidies for working families, CCDF may also support parents in education and training programs as well as parents looking for employment.
³ “States/Territories” is used throughout the brief to refer to the 50 States, the District of Columbia, American Samoa (AS), the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (MP), Guam (GU), Puerto Rico (PR), and the Virgin Islands (VI). The CCDF Policies Database and this brief focus on State/Territory CCDF policies and do not cover Tribal CCDF policies.
⁴ The data are available for public use through annual published reports and access to the full Database detail at https://ccdf.urban.org.
This brief serves as a companion piece to the report "Key Cross-State Variations in CCDF Policies as of October 1, 2021," providing a graphical overview of some of the policy differences across States/Territories. Each year, State/Territory administrators and program staff are asked to review and verify the data in the report. Some States/Territories were not able to complete the review this year, particularly in light of the review process falling during the COVID-19 pandemic.

We describe and present policies related to:

- child eligibility requirements
- family application and terms of authorization
- family copayments
- payment policies for providers

Finally, we provide information about additional resources that are available from the CCDF Policies Database project.

State and Territory Policies in Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic

Children, families, and child care providers across the country were significantly impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic that began in 2020. In response to the pandemic, the Office of Child Care provided ongoing guidance for how States/Territories could use their CCDF programs to support families and providers during the public health emergency. This report focuses on policies in effect on October 1, 2021.

---


6 In the majority of States/Territories (50 out of 56), a State/Territory contact reviewed the materials and any issues that were raised were fully resolved. In four States/Territories (Guam, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands), we were able to verify some of the information in the tables but had outstanding questions left after the verification period. In two cases (American Samoa and Louisiana), the State/Territory was unable to review the tables. Because verification of this year’s tables took place in the spring of 2022, when many State/Territory administrators continued to focus on emergency needs in their States/Territories resulting from the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, fewer States/Territories are considered "fully verified" than in some previous years.

For more detailed information on the policies shown here and whether they were adopted in response to the pandemic, see the full annual report “Key Cross-State Variations in CCDF Policies as of October 1, 2021.” Additionally, because the report and this brief focus on the policies in effect as of October 1, policies that were in place prior to this date are not reflected here. However, this information can be found in the full database and project reports.8

Eligibility Requirements for Families and Children

As described above, children and their families must meet certain eligibility criteria to qualify for child care subsidies under the CCDF program, including a requirement that parents be working or engaged in an approved activity. Within the federal guidelines, States/Territories establish exactly which activities they approve for CCDF-funded child care. Additionally, in almost all cases, family units must have incomes below the threshold defined by the State/Territory to be eligible for the child care subsidy.

What Activities Does Each State/Territory Approve for CCDF Eligibility?

For their children to qualify for subsidies, parents and guardians typically must participate in approved activities, as defined by each State/Territory. Employment is an approved activity in every State/Territory, and many States/Territories also approve child care subsidies for parents participating in education and training activities. Figure 1 shows which States/Territories approve each of these activities:

- High school (54 States/Territories)
- GED activities (53 States/Territories)
- Post-secondary education (48 States/Territories)
- English as a Second Language activities (30 States/Territories)
- Training (51 States/Territories)
- Adult basic education (38 States/Territories)

---

FIGURE 1
State/Territory Approved Education and Training Activities for Eligibility (2021)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School</th>
<th>GED</th>
<th>Post-Secondary Education</th>
<th>English as a Second Language</th>
<th>Training</th>
<th>Adult Basic Education</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AS AZ AR CA</td>
<td>AL AK AS AZ AR</td>
<td>CA CO CT DC</td>
<td>LA ME MP MD</td>
<td>FL GA ID IL</td>
<td>AR CO DC DE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO CT DC DE</td>
<td>AL AK AS AZ AR</td>
<td>CA CO CT DC</td>
<td>NY NC ND OH</td>
<td>FL GA ID IL</td>
<td>AR CO DC DE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL GA GU HI</td>
<td>ID IL IN IA</td>
<td>FL GA GU HI</td>
<td>NY NC ND OH</td>
<td>FL GA ID IL</td>
<td>AR CO DC DE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID IL IN IA</td>
<td>HI ID IL IN</td>
<td>FL GA GU HI</td>
<td>IN IA KS KY</td>
<td>AR CO DC DE</td>
<td>AR CO DC DE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS KY LA ME</td>
<td>IA KS KY LA</td>
<td>ID IL IN IA</td>
<td>IN IA KS KY</td>
<td>AR CO DC DE</td>
<td>AR CO DC DE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP MD MA MI</td>
<td>ME MP MD MA</td>
<td>ID IL IN IA</td>
<td>IN IA KS KY</td>
<td>AR CO DC DE</td>
<td>AR CO DC DE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN MS MO MT</td>
<td>MI MN MS MO</td>
<td>ID IL IN IA</td>
<td>IN IA KS KY</td>
<td>AR CO DC DE</td>
<td>AR CO DC DE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE NV NH NM</td>
<td>MT NE NV NH</td>
<td>ID IL IN IA</td>
<td>IN IA KS KY</td>
<td>AR CO DC DE</td>
<td>AR CO DC DE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY NC ND OH</td>
<td>NM NY NC ND</td>
<td>ID IL IN IA</td>
<td>IN IA KS KY</td>
<td>AR CO DC DE</td>
<td>AR CO DC DE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OK PA PR RI</td>
<td>OH OK PA PR</td>
<td>ID IL IN IA</td>
<td>IN IA KS KY</td>
<td>AR CO DC DE</td>
<td>AR CO DC DE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC SD TN TX</td>
<td>RI SC SD TX</td>
<td>ID IL IN IA</td>
<td>IN IA KS KY</td>
<td>AR CO DC DE</td>
<td>AR CO DC DE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UT VT VI VA</td>
<td>UT VT VI TA</td>
<td>ID IL IN IA</td>
<td>IN IA KS KY</td>
<td>AR CO DC DE</td>
<td>AR CO DC DE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA WV WI WY</td>
<td>WA WV WI WY</td>
<td>ID IL IN IA</td>
<td>IN IA KS KY</td>
<td>AR CO DC DE</td>
<td>AR CO DC DE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data source: CCDF Policies Database available at [https://ccdf.urban.org](https://ccdf.urban.org)

Notes: States/Territories shown here approve these education and training activities, regardless of participation in work; additional States/Territories subsidize child care while the parent is in education or training only when the parent also meets minimum work requirements. States listed as approving a particular activity may not approve it in all circumstances; for example, some states provide child care subsidies while a parent is in high school only if the parent is a teen. The policy information for Adult Basic Education was not available in the caseworker manuals for the following Territories and is not included in the counts shown: American Samoa and Puerto Rico. For more information on how States/Territories define training, see the full database detail available at [https://ccdf.urban.org](https://ccdf.urban.org).

Do States/Territories Approve Job Search as an Eligibility Activity?

In addition to employment, education, and training, States/Territories may also provide subsidies for periods of job search.\(^9\) Parents searching for a job may need child care so that they can attend interviews, work on resumes, or submit job applications.

Figure 2 shows:

- Fifty-five States/Territories consider job search to be a qualifying activity for subsidized care for initial eligibility and/or continuing eligibility (during an eligibility period that is already underway).

\(^9\) Federal guidelines require States/Territories to provide a period of job search eligibility to families with parents whose allowable activities ended. For more information see [https://childcareta.acf.hhs.gov/ccdf-fundamentals/job-search-and-continuity-care](https://childcareta.acf.hhs.gov/ccdf-fundamentals/job-search-and-continuity-care).
- Of the 55, 29 of the States/Territories only allow a family to continue receiving subsidized care during a period of job search if the family was already receiving subsidies for another reason.
- Of the 55, the other 26 States/Territories consider job search a qualifying activity for both initial and continuing eligibility.

**FIGURE 2**
State/Territory Job Search as an Approved Activity for Eligibility (2021)

Data source: CCDF Policies Database available at [https://ccdf.urban.org](https://ccdf.urban.org)

**How Many Hours Does Each State/Territory Require Parents to Work in Order to be Eligible?**

Employment is a qualifying activity for child care subsidies in all States/Territories, but some programs only provide subsidies for parents/guardians working a minimum number of hours. In some States/Territories, students may also be required to work a minimum number of hours in addition to their school activities.\(^{10}\)

Figure 3 shows:
- Twenty-six States/Territories require parents to work a minimum number of hours per week to be eligible for care based on employment, and Montana requires parents to work a minimum number of hours per month (converted to a weekly amount for figure 3).

---

\(^{10}\) Some States/Territories have a general activity requirement that can be met through a combination of work and school hours. If the requirement could be fully met with school hours, the database does not count this as a work requirement for students. More information can be found in the full report, “Key Cross-State Variations in CCDF Policies as of October 1, 2021: The CCDF Policies Database Book of Tables.”
- Of the States/Territories with a minimum, the required hours range from 15 to 30 hours each week.

- For the 29 States/Territories with no explicit minimum work hour policy, the number of work hours generally affects the number of hours approved for subsidized child care.

**FIGURE 3**

State/Territory Minimum Work Hour Requirement Policies (2021)

Data source: CCDF Policies Database available at [https://ccdf.urban.org](https://ccdf.urban.org)

**What Are the Income Eligibility Thresholds for a Family of Three?**

Each State/Territory establishes a set of income eligibility thresholds at or below the federal limit of 85 percent of SMI to determine eligibility for subsidized child care. States/Territories also have the discretion to define what counts as family income when evaluating a child’s eligibility (the full CCDF Policies Database provides more information on how each State/Territory defines income). A family newly applying for subsidies must have countable monthly income at or below the program’s initial eligibility threshold for their family size in order to be eligible for subsidies. Additionally, States/Territories may set higher continuing eligibility thresholds, or the maximum income that a family already receiving a subsidy can have and remain eligible during the eligibility period. (The most recent federal regulations require all States/Territories to allow a family’s eligibility to continue during the minimum 12-month eligibility period as long as their income is at or below 85 percent of SMI. States/Territories may choose to end subsidies if the family has experienced a permanent loss of employment, education, or training status.) Some States/Territories also use their continuing eligibility thresholds at the point of eligibility redetermination (to determine if there will be another minimum 12-
month eligibility period). Other States/Territories use a different set of thresholds at the point of eligibility redetermination.

Figure 4 shows:

- Initial income eligibility thresholds for a family of three range from $1,511 per month in Puerto Rico to $6,511 per month in California.\(^{11}\)

- For a family of three, forty-one States/Territories use higher eligibility thresholds for at least some families who are already receiving subsidies. Twenty-nine of these States/Territories use this continuing eligibility threshold not only during the eligibility period, but also at redetermination. Twelve States/Territories use thresholds at redetermination that are above the initial amounts used but below the amounts used during the eligibility period. One state, Vermont, uses higher eligibility thresholds for families with four people or more.

- Continuing eligibility thresholds for a three-person family range from 8 percent higher than the initial threshold in Montana to 115 percent higher than the initial threshold in New Jersey.

FIGURE 4
State/Territory Monthly Eligibility Threshold Policies, Family Size 3 (2021)

Initial Eligibility • During the Eligibility Period • At Redetermination if different

Data source: CCDF Policies Database available at https://ccdf.urban.org
Note: Federal regulations require all States/Territories to allow a family’s eligibility to continue during the eligibility period as long as their income is at or below 85 percent of SMI, unless they have experienced a permanent change in employment, education, or training status.
Priority Policies for Eligibility

Each State/Territory CCDF program has procedures for how eligible applicants are prioritized for services when the number of eligible applicants exceeds the number of subsidies that the program can provide.

What Groups Are Given Priority for CCDF Subsidies?

CCDF subsidies are not a guaranteed benefit. It is possible that more families will apply for and be eligible for the CCDF-funded subsidy program in a particular State/Territory than can be subsidized with the State's/Territory's available funds. To address this, States/Territories may establish priority groups among eligible families and use waiting lists for families who cannot be served immediately.

States/Territories may assign different levels or types of priority to families, including:

- guaranteeing a subsidy for the given group
- giving priority to a given group, but without a guarantee of a subsidy when funds are limited
- neither a guarantee of a subsidy nor priority for subsidies for families within a given group

**FIGURE 5**

State/Territory Priority Policies (2021)


Figure 5 shows which States/Territories give some sort of priority to:

- Children with special needs (34 States/Territories)
- Families with very low income (28 States/Territories)
- Families receiving TANF (41 States/Territories)
- Children involved in the State’s/Territory’s Child Protective Services (CPS) program (36 States/Territories)
- Children in foster care (26 States/Territories)
- Families experiencing homelessness (38 States/Territories)

Family Copayments

Each State/Territory sets its own policies for family payments, often termed “copayments,” within the broader federal guidelines. Copayment amounts can vary by family size, income, number of children in care, and a variety of other factors.

What Groups Are Exempt from Copayments?

Many States/Territories exempt at least some families from paying any copayment; in other words, these families receive child care for free. The groups of families that are exempt vary across States/Territories.

Figure 6 shows which States/Territories exempt the following groups from copayments:

- Children under the State’s/Territory’s CPS program (39 States/Territories)
- Children in foster care (36 States/Territories)
- Families with income under 100 percent of the Federal Poverty Guidelines (21 States/Territories)
- Teen parents (7 States/Territories)
- Families with children with special needs (1 State)
**FIGURE 6**

State/Territory Copayment Exemption Policies (2021)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Families with CPS Cases</th>
<th>Families with a Foster Child</th>
<th>Families with Income Under 100 Percent of Federal Poverty Guidelines</th>
<th>Teen Parents</th>
<th>Families with a Child with Special Needs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL CA CO</td>
<td>CO CT FL NE</td>
<td>AS DC GU HI</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>AR CO DE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL GA KY NE</td>
<td>NH WA AZ AR</td>
<td>DC DE GA GU</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>GA ND WI DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH NJ OK SC</td>
<td>WA WV AZ AR</td>
<td>HI ID IN KS</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>VT VI WV WI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA WV AZ AR</td>
<td>DC DE GA GU</td>
<td>IN IA KS LA</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>TX VT VI WV WI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC DE GU HI</td>
<td>MA MI MO MT</td>
<td>LA MA MI MO</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>VT VI WV WI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN IA KS LA</td>
<td>NV NJ NM NY</td>
<td>NC OK RI PR</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>VT VI WV WI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA MI MO MT</td>
<td>OH PR RI TN</td>
<td>SC SD TN TX</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>VT VI WV WI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NV NM NC NY</td>
<td>OH OK OR RI</td>
<td>SD UT VI WY</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>VT VI WV WI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH PR RI TN</td>
<td>TX VT VI WV WI</td>
<td>Exempt in some cases</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>VT VI WV WI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX VT VI WV WI</td>
<td>Exempt</td>
<td>Exempt</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>VT VI WV WI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data source: CCDF Policies Database available at [https://ccdf.urban.org](https://ccdf.urban.org)

**What Are the Copayments for a Family of Three?**

After determining income level and family size, each family in need of care (and not exempt from copayments) is assigned a copayment amount. The amounts vary greatly across States/Territories. To compare the copayments in a consistent way, we consider a specific situation: a single parent with a two-year-old child and a four-year-old child in full-time child care.

Figure 7A shows:

- Fifteen States/Territories require a monthly copayment of $1 to $100 for a three-person family (a single parent with a two-year-old and a four-year-old) earning $15,000 annually.
- Three States/Territories require a monthly copayment higher than $100 for a family in this situation.
- The remaining 38 States/Territories do not require any copayment. (Some States/Territories waived copayments during the COVID-19 pandemic. See the full annual report for more information.)
FIGURE 7A
State/Territory Monthly Copayment Policies for a Family of Three Earning $15,000 Annually (2021)

Data source: CCDF Policies Database available at https://ccdf.urban.org

FIGURE 7B
State/Territory Monthly Copayment Policies for a Family of Three Earning $30,000 Annually (2021)

Data source: CCDF Policies Database available at https://ccdf.urban.org

Figure 7B shows:
- Eighteen States/Territories require monthly copayments over $100 a month for a three-person family (a single parent with a two-year-old and a four-year-old) earning $30,000 annually.
In three States/Territories, a three-person family (a single parent with a two-year-old and a four-year-old) earning $30,000 annually is not eligible for subsidized child care.

Payment Policies for Providers

Each CCDF program includes extensive policies related to child care providers. These policies operate within the context of a State’s/Territory’s broader policies concerning child care licensing and regulation. However, some policies related to providers are specific to the CCDF program. These include the maximum reimbursement rates that will be paid by the State/Territory for CCDF-subsidized care.

What Are the Maximum Reimbursement Rates for Providers?

Each CCDF program determines the maximum amounts that will be paid to child care providers—often termed “maximum reimbursement rates,” “payment rates,” or “provider payments” (referred to as maximum reimbursement rates for the CCDF Policies Database project). The States/Territories establish these rates by conducting market rate surveys of child care prices. Maximum reimbursement rates affect not only providers but also families, as the maximum reimbursement rates may establish the highest-price care that the family can obtain with the subsidy without paying an additional amount beyond the copayment.

Within each State/Territory, rates may differ based on a number of factors, including:

- provider type
- amount of care (full-time, part-time, before-and-after, or summer care)
- the age of the child
- geographic area of State/Territory

States/Territories may also provide higher rates for providers who qualify for increased payments based on meeting additional criteria beyond the basic licensing requirements. For example, some States/Territories use a tiered reimbursement rate system with rates that increase as providers achieve higher quality ratings. In figures 8A and 8B, the base rates are the rates providers are paid prior to any quality or other add-on, and the highest rates reflect the highest rates available including all additional criteria. The rates shown are for the most populous area in each State.

Figure 8A shows the base and highest rates for toddlers (35-months old) in licensed center care.

- Monthly base rates for toddler care in licensed child care centers range from $180 in American Samoa to $1,678 in DC.
- The average monthly base rate for toddlers in licensed center care is $902, and the median monthly base rate is $867.
Thirty-three States use higher tiered or accredited rates in addition to their base rates for care provided in child care centers.

Georgia does not have established maximum reimbursement rates. The state pays licensed and license-exempt formal child care providers their published rate for the type of care provided to the child.

Figure 8B shows the base and highest rates for toddlers (35-months old) in licensed family child care homes.

- Monthly base rates for toddler care in licensed family child care homes range from $180 in American Samoa to $1,418 in DC.
- The average monthly base rate for toddlers in licensed family child care homes is $708, and the median monthly base rate is $683.
- Thirty-one States use higher tiered or accredited rates in addition to their base rates for care provided in family child care homes.
- Georgia does not have established maximum reimbursement rates. The state pays licensed and license-exempt formal child care providers their published rate for the type of care provided to the child.
FIGURE 8A
State/Territory Base and Highest Maximum Monthly Reimbursement Rates for Toddlers in Licensed Centers (2021)

Data source: CCDF Policies Database available at https://ccdf.urban.org
Note: *Georgia does not have established maximum reimbursement rates. The state pays licensed and license-exempt formal child care providers their published rate for the type of care provided to the child.
FIGURE 8B
State/Territory Base and Highest Maximum Monthly Reimbursement Rates for Toddlers in Licensed Family Child Care Homes (2021)

Data source: CCDF Policies Database available at https://ccdf.urban.org
Note: *Georgia does not have established maximum reimbursement rates. The state pays licensed and license-exempt formal child care providers their published rate for the type of care provided to the child.
More Information from the CCDF Policies Database

The information presented in this brief as well as more detailed policies and historical data are available for public use from the CCDF Policies Database.

Additional information from the CCDF Policies Database project can be found at https://ccdf.urban.org/. Resources available on the project website include:

- Annual reports
- A search tool to create custom tables
- Full data files
- Briefs on different topics, including CCDBG Reauthorization and policies in response to the COVID-19 pandemic
- Additional information, including presentations and trainings
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